



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

D60

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

FILE: [REDACTED]
LIN 99 261 53927

Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: AUG 10 2000

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Petition for Alien Fiance(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(K)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

Public Copy
Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Terrence M. O'Reilly, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of [REDACTED] as the fiancée of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner had not established that he and the beneficiary personally met within two years prior to the date of filing the petition.

On appeal, counsel asserts that unique circumstances exist which prevent the meeting of the petitioner and the beneficiary. He states that the beneficiary is not eligible for a visitor's visa as she cannot meet the standards for the grant of a visitor's visa. Likewise, the petitioner is currently incarcerated in a [REDACTED] Prison with a sentence until [REDACTED] and while he is eligible for parole, travel outside the United States will be expressly prohibited by the conditions of his parole upon his release. Counsel further asserts that the petitioner has shown sufficient hardship to obtain a waiver of the requirement that the parties have met in person.

Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act defines a nonimmigrant in this category as:

An alien who is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after admission, and the minor children of such fiancée or fiancé accompanying him or following to join him.

Section 214(d) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(d), states, in pertinent part, that a fiancé(e) petition:

shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within 2 years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival, except that the Attorney General in his discretion may waive the requirement that the parties have previously met in person....

8 C.F.R. 214.2(k) (2) provides that, as a matter of discretion, the director may exempt the petitioner from the requirement that the parties have previously met only if it is established that compliance would result in extreme hardship to the petitioner or that compliance would violate strict and long-established customs of the beneficiary's foreign culture or social practice.

The petition was filed with the Service on September 16, 1999. Therefore, the petitioner and the beneficiary must have met in person between September 17, 1997 and September 16, 1999.

The petitioner claimed in a statement dated June 23, 1999, that he never met the beneficiary although they have been corresponding for over three years. He requests a waiver of this requirement because his incarceration restricts him from travel. Restrictions on travel, however, are a consequence of the petitioner's own actions. He cannot travel to meet the beneficiary because of conditions of the sentence for his criminal conviction, and this does not constitute extreme hardship.

The petitioner has failed to establish that he and the beneficiary have met personally as required, pursuant to section 214(d) of the Act. Nor has the petitioner established that he warrants a discretionary waiver of the requirement pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(k) (2).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed. This decision, however, is without prejudice to the filing of a new petition (Form I-129F) once the petitioner and the beneficiary have met in person.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.